Functional Ecology 2015

Large wildlife removal drives immune defence increases in rodents

Hillary S. Young^{*1,2,3}, Rodolfo Dirzo⁴, Kristofer M. Helgen², Douglas J. McCauley^{1,3}, Charles L. Nunn^{5,6}, Paul Snyder^{7,8}, Kari E. Veblen^{3,9}, Serena Zhao^{2,3} and Vanessa O. Ezenwa^{3,7}

¹University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA; ²Division of Mammals, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, District of Columbia 20013, USA; ³Mpala Research Centre, Box 555, Nanyuki, Kenya; ⁴Department of Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA; ⁵Department of Evolutionary Anthropology, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, USA; ⁶Duke Global Health Institute, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, USA; ⁷Odum School of Ecology and Department of Infectious Diseases, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602, USA; ⁸Department of Integrative Biology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon 97331, USA; and ⁹Department of Wildland Resources and Ecology Center, Utah State University, Logan, Utah 84322, USA

Summary

1. Anthropogenic disturbances involving land use change, climate disruption, pollution and invasive species have been shown to impact immune function of wild animals. These immune changes have direct impacts on the fitness of impacted animals and, also, potentially indirect effects on other species and on ecological processes, notably involving the spread of infectious disease. Here, we investigate whether the selective loss of large wildlife can also drive changes in immune function of other consumer species.

2. Using a long-standing large-scale exclosure experiment in East Africa, we investigated the effects of selective removal of large wildlife on multiple measures of immune function in the dominant small rodent in the system, the East African pouched mouse, *Saccostomus mearnsi*.

3. We find support for a general increase in immune function in landscapes where large wildlife has been removed, but with some variation across immune parameters. These changes may be mediated in part by increased pathogen pressure in plots where large wildlife has been removed due to major increases in rodent density in such plots, but other factors such as changes in food resources are also likely involved.

4. Overall, our research reveals that the elimination of large-bodied wildlife – now recognized as another major form of global anthropogenic change – may have cascading effects on immune health, with the potential for these effects to also impact disease dynamics in ecological communities.

Key-words: defaunation, ecoimmunology, Kenya Long-term Exclosure Experiment, rodent, wildlife decline

Introduction

Large wildlife is being selectively lost from ecosystems around the world (Dirzo *et al.* 2014). This pattern of defaunation has strong consequences for the abundance, composition and behaviour of smaller animal species (Goheen *et al.* 2010; Kurten 2013; Young *et al.* 2015a,b). These changes ultimately lead to powerful, often transformative, effects on a wide range of ecosystem functions and services (Estes *et al.* 2011; Atwood *et al.* 2013; Dirzo *et al.* 2014). For example, in African savanna ecosystems, declines in large mammals lead to changes in herbivory, seed predation, plant recruitment, fire intensity and disease dynamics – with most of these responses due to changes in abundance or behaviour of small-bodied mammals and insects (Dirzo *et al.* 2014; Keesing & Young 2014; Kimuyu *et al.* 2014; Young *et al.* 2014). Similarly, in the oceans, loss of great whales is thought to have driven cascading changes in abundance of smaller predators and their prey, triggering profound reductions in carbon sequestration (Springer *et al.* 2003; Wilmers *et al.* 2012). Another less explored way in which large animal loss may drive changes in ecosystem functioning is via changes in the physiology of remaining species (Leroux, Hawlena & Schmitz 2012;

*Correspondence author. E-mail: hillary.young@lifesci.ucsb.edu

© 2015 The Authors. Functional Ecology © 2015 British Ecological Society

Strickland *et al.* 2013); however, several studies are now beginning to document strong changes in consumer physiology and morphology following removal or addition of other species. For example, variation in the abundance of predators drives changes in tail length in tadpoles (Maher, Werner & Denver 2013), carbon to nitrogen ratios in grasshoppers (Hawlena & Schmitz 2010) and stress hormones across a range of species (Berger *et al.* 2007; Martin *et al.* 2010). These physiological changes have in turn been shown to drive changes in a range of ecosystem processes, including decomposition, nutrient cycling and disease control (Martin *et al.* 2010, Schmitz, Hawlena & Trussell 2010; Hawlena *et al.* 2012; Strickland *et al.* 2013).

Thus far, relatively less research has investigated immunological responses to changes in community composition, despite the fact that such changes may have important implications for spread of diseases (including zoonoses). To begin to fill this gap, we examined the effect of large wildlife loss on immune function of wild rodents in a natural setting. Large mammals, both domestic and wild, can greatly impact the density and behaviour of rodents (Heske & Campbell 1991; Keesing and Crawford 2001, Smit et al. 2001; Keesing & Young 2014). These impacts are typically mediated by changes in resource availability or predation risk (Keesing & Young 2014). Additionally, variability in resource availability and host density can both cause changes in the degree or type of investment in immune function (Seiter 2011; Groner et al. 2013; Morosinotto et al. 2013; Zanette, Clinchy & Suraci 2014). Free-ranging animals typically experience much stronger variation in immune function than is observed in laboratory or captive animals, but much remains unknown about both direct and indirect drivers of variation in immune function in the wild (Abolins et al. 2011). Species loss and changes in community structure (e.g. changes in species relative abundances) have the potential to be important drivers of immune variation in the wild. Moreover, the cascading effects of large wildlife loss on immune function of smaller animals could have important direct effects on fitness and even evolutionary trajectories of the smaller species that are left behind (Maizels & Nussey 2013), and indirect effects on many other constituents of the ecosystem, most notably via changes in parasite and pathogen transmission (Hawley & Altizer 2011).

Changes in resource availability may affect immune defence by changing the fitness costs of immune investment. While immune defences are critical to individual survival, they are also energetically and nutritionally costly, leading to measurable effects on fitness, and pressure for individuals to trade off immune defence for growth and reproduction (Lochmiller & Deerenberg 2000; Martin, Weil & Nelson 2008; Graham *et al.* 2011). Studies in a range of taxa show that investment in immune defence within individuals is highly plastic and that individuals may reduce investment in overall immune defences (Nelson & Demas 1996; Martin, Weil & Nelson 2007b; Martin *et al.* 2007a) or adjust relative investment in

different immune components that have different costs (Ezenwa & Jolles 2011; Gilot-Fromont *et al.* 2012) when resources are more limited. Effects of change in predation pressure on immune function may be mediated by energetic trade-offs between behavioural defences against predators and immune defences against parasites (Rigby & Jokela 2000; Horak, Tummeleht & Talvik 2006; Raffel, Martin & Rohr 2008; Marino & Werner 2013). Increased predation pressure may also affect immune defence via increased levels of stress hormones in high predator abundance environments, with cascading effects on immune function (Navarro *et al.* 2004; Thomson *et al.* 2010; Groner *et al.* 2013).

Vertebrate immune systems have multiple axes of variation, with an important axis involving innate and acquired components. Innate immune defences provide first-line, relatively non-specific defences against invading pathogens; they also direct subsequent acquired immune responses. Innate immune defences are relatively inexpensive and quick to develop and use, but they may have relatively high immunopathological costs, whereby the response of the immune system itself causes damage to the animal (Klasing 2004; Martin, Weil & Nelson 2008). The innate immune system involves many aspects, including anatomical barriers (mucus, skin), serological components [e.g. natural antibodies (NAbs), lysozymes and complement] and cytological components [e.g. white blood cells (WBC) and natural killer cells; Tizard 2004]. At the other end of the spectrum, acquired immune defences are relatively expensive and generally time-consuming to develop (although in some circumstances may be less expensive to use; Martin, Weil & Nelson 2008). Examples of acquired immune defences are T helper cells, cytotoxic T cells and immunoglobulins produced after antigen exposure (Lee 2006).

In this work, we specifically investigated the impacts of long-term experimental removal of large wildlife on multiple measures of acquired and innate immunity for an ecologically dominant rodent species, Saccostomus mearnsi (East African pouched mouse) in Laikipia, Kenya. Many years of study in this African savanna system have shown that the densities of these animals are consistently and significantly elevated (roughly doubled) in sites where large wildlife has been removed (Keesing & Young 2014). The increased density may result from increases in food availability and perhaps quality, leading to elevated reproductive rates (Keesing 2000). In addition, vegetation cover also increases (Young, Palmer & Gadd 2005), providing cover from predators (and, likely, additional food such as seed or palatable foliage). While abundance of at least some small mammal predators does increase in these plots (McCauley et al. 2006), the lack of an overall change in rodent survivorship (Keesing 2000) suggests that the effects of increased cover compensate for increases in predator density. Abundance of small mammal ectoparasites on a per plot (but not per individual) basis has also been shown to increase dramatically in plots with no large wildlife (McCauley *et al.* 2008; Young *et al.* 2014). Similarly, shedding rates of some densitydependent parasites that affect *S. mearnsi* (whipworms, hookworms, coccidia) also increase in sites where large wildlife species have been excluded (H. S. Young, unpublished data). Collectively, these findings suggest that pathogen pressure is likely to be much higher in sites with no large wildlife.

Here, we experimentally test the prediction that the combination of increased parasite burdens and increased food availability known to occur in sites with no large wildlife (Arneberg *et al.* 1998; Keesing *et al.* 2013; Young *et al.* 2014) would drive overall elevations in immune function in such sites (Martin *et al.* 2010). We argue that such increases in immunity could come as a response to elevated pathogen pressure, similar to a response that has been described as density-dependent prophylaxis (DDP) in insects (Cotter *et al.* 2004), or by increased resource availability in sites without large wildlife, or the combination thereof.

Materials and methods

STUDY SITE

This work was conducted in the Kenya Long-term Exclosure Experiment (KLEE; $0^{\circ}17'$ N, $36^{\circ}52'$ E) in Laikipia County, Kenya. The KLEE experiment, established in 1995, uses electric fences to remove various groups of animals from large (4 ha) plots in an African savanna landscape (Young *et al.* 1997). KLEE is located in an area with a rich large mammal fauna including elephants (*Loxodonta africana*), giraffes (*Giraffa camelopardalis*), zebras (*Equus quagga* and *Equus grevyi*) and lions (*Panthera leo*), among many other species. The small mammal community in these plots includes at least 12 species, and long-term smallmammal trapping from these sites shows them to be dominated by one species of rodent, *S. mearnsi*, which typically accounts for >75% of all captures (Young *et al.* 2014).

Kenya Long-term Exclosure Experiment includes multiple treatments that simulate various types of wildlife and livestock loss, but here we utilize only two treatments: full exclusion of all large animals (greater than ~ 15 kg) and full access to all species. To avoid edge effects, we sampled the inner 1 ha of each 4 ha treatment, and each of these treatments is replicated three times in the landscape where KLEE is located.

Our study on rodent immunity was conducted over a 27-month period between September 2011 and November 2013. There were five sampling sessions conducted in this period: September 2011, February 2012, June 2013, August 2013 and November 2013, with three to six nights of trapping per session.

Rainfall in Laikipia is considered to be weakly trimodal (see Young *et al.* 2014), and our five sampling sessions included a variety of seasons, ranging from extreme dry and wet periods to more intermediate periods. Specifically, total rainfall in the 60 days prior to sampling across the five sampling sessions ranged from 4.5 to 207 mm. As seasonal changes are known to strongly mediate immune function (Martin, Weil & Nelson 2008), we include a 'season' factor in all analyses (see Statistical analyses). We quantify seasonality as the aggregate amount of rainfall in the 30 days prior to the capture of the animal sampled, based on the assumptions that resource vegetation is the factor of seasonality most important to these animals and that vegetation responds to rainfall (Keesing & Young 2014).

ANIMAL CAPTURE AND MANIPULATION

The methods for rodent trapping were similar to those detailed in previous studies (Young *et al.* 2014). In each of the plots, we used Sherman traps baited with peanut butter and oats; traps were opened at dusk and closed at dawn. On the day of capture, animals were marked with individual metal ear tags, weighed and checked for sex and reproductive condition. Animal density at each plot and trapping session was estimated as catch per unit effort, evaluated as the number of unique animals captured per trap night (Fukasawa *et al.* 2013).

Because other studies have shown strong variation in immune parameters due to ontogeny (Palacios et al. 2009), sex (Restif & Amos 2010; Previtali et al. 2012), or reproductive condition (i.e. pregnancy or lactation; Ardia, Schat & Winkler 2003; Nordling et al. 1998), we used only adult, reproductively mature (testes scrotal) males to minimize this variation. All females and immature animals, and additional animals from species other than S. mearnsi, were released at point of capture; all adult males were transferred to individual cages and transported to a central holding area for the duration of the study. In total, 128 adult males were utilized in some aspect of the analysis, from a total of 385 individual S. mearnsi captured in these sampling efforts. Animals were weighed in a bag with a Pesola scale, and body mass was used as an indicator of body condition of these animals. Animals were then allowed to acclimate for 24 h, after which a blood draw was conducted from either the lateral saphenous vein (using GoldenRod lancet) or caudal vein. Blood was collected in heparinized capillary blood tubes and immediately placed on ice. After blood collection animals received an intraperitoneal injection of 25 µL of a 1% chicken red blood cell (CRBC) solution (solution consisted of red blood cells dissolved in Dulbecco's phosphatebuffered saline) to stimulate antibody production. On day eight, after a second blood draw, animals were permanently marked (to avoid resampling the same animal) and released at point of capture. All animals used in these analyses survived and showed no visible signs of distress prior to their release. All animal procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Smithsonian Institution.

MEASURES OF IMMUNITY

There is growing consensus that multiple assays are needed to assess varied aspects of immune function and that multiple metrics for a single branch of immune function are preferable (Demas *et al.* 2011; Pedersen & Babayan 2011; Palacios *et al.* 2012). Based on this, we used four common metrics to assess components of immune function (Table 1), including both descriptive measures (e.g. WBC counts) and more functional measures [e.g. bacteria killing capacity and haemagglutination (HA) assays]. To measure innate cellular

Table 1. Immune function parameters measured and the assays used

	Innate	Acquired
Cellular	 Percentage granulocytes Percentage monocytes 	5. Percentage lymphocytes
Humoral	 Bacterial killing capacity Natural antibodies measured via haemagglutination (HA) score after <i>ex vivo</i> challenge with chicken red blood cells (CRBC) 	6. Antibody production in response to CRBC, measured as the difference in HA score before vs. after <i>in vivo</i> challenge with CRBCs (anti-CRBC Abs)

© 2015 The Authors. Functional Ecology © 2015 British Ecological Society, Functional Ecology

4 H. S. Young et al.

immunity, we used proportional granulocyte (neutrophil, eosinophil, basophil) counts and proportional monocyte counts. To measure acquired cellular immunity, we used proportional lymphocyte counts. We also used neutrophil to lymphocyte ratios as an indicator of relative investment in innate vs. acquired cellular defences. To estimate innate humoral immunity, we measured the bacterial killing capacity (BKC) of plasma using a bacterial strain (Escherichia coli ATCC#8739) for which killing is primarily complement mediated (Demas et al. 2011). In addition, we used a HA assay to quantify levels of NAbs (Matson, Ricklefs & Klasing 2005). To estimate acquired humoral immunity, we used the same HA assay to measure antibody (Ab) production after an in vivo challenge with CRBCs, by comparing the difference in HA score pre- and post-challenge (anti-CRBC Abs). The three functional metrics (BKC, NAbs and anti-CRBC Abs) were only conducted during the final three trapping sessions (June 2013, August 2013 and September 2013) after preliminary data on WBC showed signs of difference among treatments, justifying the more invasive assays. All assays were based on commonly used protocols, and details on each assay are included in Supporting Information.

STATISTICAL ANALYSES

To examine changes in abundance of S. mearnsi across treatments, we used repeated-measures ANOVAS with plot as the replicate, with trapping period as a repeated measure and number of unique individuals caught per trap night as response variable. We used linear models to examine effects of treatment (large animals removed vs. large animals present), seasonality (total rainfall 30 days prior to capture), density of rodents (catch per unit effort) and body mass of the captured animal (as an index of body condition) on each of our immune response parameters, treating individual animals as replicates. Body mass was included because other studies have shown correlations between body condition and immune investment (Møller & Petrie 2002; Masello et al. 2009; Krams et al. 2011). For proportion of granulocytes, proportion of monocytes, proportion of lymphocytes and BKC, we logittransformed the data prior to analysis, which normalized model residuals. We also log-transformed NAbs to meet model assumptions. As some of these predictors might reasonably be expected to be correlated (e.g. body mass, density and seasonality), we first checked for multicollinearity using variance inflation factor analysis and found all values to be <3 and thus much lower than the commonly used cut-off of 10 (Petraitis, Dunham & Niewlarowski 1996). All model subsets were compared using Akaike scores. As the best-supported models were frequently within two AIC_c units from each other, we compared models using a model averaging approach, including only those models with $\Delta AIC_c < 2$. The relative importance value of each factor was calculated as a sum of Akaike weights over all the models in which the factor occurred, again including only those models with $\Delta AIC_c < 2$. We report all models that received support at this level, noting the best-fit model, as well as reporting this relative importance metric. All data shown in figures are untransformed.

Results

Abundance of *S. mearnsi* (unique individuals caught per trap night) was significantly higher in exclosure (67.6 ± 16.1) as compared to control plots (38.5 ± 11.0; $F_{1,4} = 37.5$, P < 0.01). Animals in exclosure plots had higher body mass (95 ± 2 g) than in control plots (88 ± 2 g; d.f. = 1, $\chi^2 = 8.4$, P < 0.01). We also found significant variation in body mass by session (d.f. = 4, $\chi^2 = 21.8$, P < 0.001).

In total, we gathered immune data for 128 adult male *S. mearnsi*, consisting of 70 from plots with large animals absent (exclosure) and 58 from plots with large animals present (control). Of these 128 animals, 45 were captured in the first two sessions where full sampling was not conducted and thus had only WBC data.

WHITE BLOOD CELL PROPORTIONS

For all animals with two slides measured, we found high consistency among values between slides (mean variance = 7%, P < 0.001 for all cell types). Overall, wildlife removal (the 'treatment' in our model) was associated with significant reductions in the proportion of lymphocytes among cells counted (d.f. = 1, $\chi^2 = 11.1$, P < 0.001; Fig 1); density also had significant negative effects on lymphocyte proportions (d.f. = 1, $\chi^2 = 9.3$, P = 0.02; Tables 2 and 3). The best-fit model included treatment and density. This model explained 38% of total variance and received substantially more support than any other model (Table 3).

For granulocytes, we found that the strongest effects were again treatment (d.f. = 1, $\chi^2 = 13.1$, P < 0.001;



Fig. 1. Removal of large wildlife through exclosures (yellow bars) caused significant increases in three measured parameters of immune function [% granulocytes, bacterial killing capacity (BKC) and change in natural antibodies using haemagglutination score after chicken red blood cell (CRBC) antigen exposure (anti-CRBC Abs)], compared to control plots (green bars). Percentage lymphocytes, an acquired response, declined significantly; however, because this was a proportionate value, and essentially the flip side of the increase in granulocytes, it is not possible to say whether this represented a real decrease, or only a relative shift towards innate as opposed to acquired immunity. No change was observed in NAbs prior to antigen exposure, or in percentage monocytes (data not shown). Those parameters where treatment alone had a significant effect are indicated with an asterisk. All values correspond to means \pm SD.

Table 2. Relative importance of independent variables across models for each measured immune assay (white blood cell proportions, including: % lymphocytes, % granulocytes, % *monocytes*, and neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio, bacterial killing capacity (BKC), natural antibodies (NAbs), and change in Abs following chicken red blood cell (CRBC) antigen exposure anti-CRBC Abs

	Lymph	Gran	Mon	N : L ratio	ВКС	NAbs	Anti-CRBC Abs
Treatment	1	1	0.24	1	1	0.34	1
Seasonality	0.28	_	0.13	_	1	0.58	0.2
Density	1	1	0.14	1	0.71	0.63	0.25
Mass	_	-	0.23	0.29	-	0.13	-

Relative importance of variables was defined using weighted model average AICc values. Variables that received no support in any of the averaged models have no value shown. Significant relationships are shown in **bold**.

 Table 3. Model support for each of the immune parameters measured, ranked (1–8, first column) by the amount of support received. Best fit models are listed in bold

	Model	AIC _c	$\Delta \ AIC_c$	R^2
%	Lymphocyte			
1	Treatment + density	-147.42	0	0.38
2	Treatment + density + season	-145.49	1.93	
%	Granulocyte			
1	Treatment + density	127.29	0	0.1
2	Treatment + density + mass	129.35	2.06	
3	Treatment + density + season	129.36	2.07	
%]	Monocyte			
1	Null	183.27	0	
2	Treatment	184.71	1.44	0.005
3	Mass	184.9	1.62	
4	Density	185.01	1.74	
5	Rainfall	185.09	1.81	
Bac	terial killing capacity			
1	Treatment + density + season	277·03	0	0.18
2	Treatment + season	278.81	1.78	
NA	bs			
1	Treatment + density + season	80·36	0	0.09
2	Null	80.46	0.1	
3	Density	80.46	0.1	
4	Season	80.58	0.22	
5	Density + season	80.64	0.28	
6	Treatment + density	81.24	0.88	
7	Treatment + density +	82.16	1.8	
	season + mass			
8	Season + mass	82.23	1.87	
Ant	ti-CRBC Abs			
1	Treatment	738·14	0	0.1
2	Treatment + density	739.67	1.53	
3	Treatment + season	740.13	1.99	
N :	L ratio			
1	Treatment + density	252.27	0	0.1
2	Treatment + density + mass	254.47	2.06	

Table 3) and density (d.f. = 1, χ^2 = 13·3, P < 0.001). The proportion of granulocytes was higher in exclosure as compared to control treatments and in high-density as compared to low-density plots. The best-fit model included treatment and density and explained 10% of the variation in granulocyte proportions among individuals (Table 3).

For monocytes, we found no support for any of our explanatory variables; the best-supported model was the null model. The next best-supported model included

© 2015 The Authors. Functional Ecology © 2015 British Ecological Society, Functional Ecology

treatment but explained <1% of the overall variation in monocyte proportions among individuals (Table 3).

For neutrophil to lymphocyte (N : L) ratios, we again found significant effects of both treatment (d.f. = 1, $\chi^2 = 8.4$, P < 0.01) and density (d.f. = 4, $\chi^2 = 6.5$, P = 0.01), with N : L levels being higher in exclosure and high-density plots as compared to control plots. The bestfit model included density and treatment (Table 3).

BACTERIAL KILLING CAPACITY

After discarding plates with contamination or poor controls, we had 69 animals with BKC data. Data within plates for a single individual showed reasonably high consistency in BKC (R = 0.90, P < 0.0001). Treatment had a highly significant effect (d.f. = 1, $\chi^2 = 24.1$, P < 0.001) in our analyses and appeared in all models with any support, with BKC being significantly elevated in exclosure plots. Higher density also drove significant increases in BKC (d.f. = 1, $\chi^2 = 7.1$, P < 0.01). Higher rainfall tended to cause higher BKC levels, but this effect was not significant (d.f. = 1, $\chi^2 = 1.5$, P = 0.2). The best-fit model included treatment, density and season and explained 18% of variance (Table 3).

NATURAL ANTIBODY (NAB) AND ANTI-CRBC ANTIBODIES (ABS)

Haemagglutination assays were highly replicable, with <1% average difference between the two scores calculated for each individual within a sampling period ($R^2 = 0.93$, P < 0.001). In total, 84 animals were successfully screened for antibodies using HA assays both before and after CRBC exposure. There was a strong positive correlation between antibody responses prior to exposure and after exposure (P < 0.0001, F = 37.49, R = 0.31). However, post-exposure antibody levels (3.68 ± 0.21) were 1.8 times higher than pre-exposure natural antibody levels (2.06 ± 0.17).

We found no significant effect of any of the predictors on NAbs (whole model not significant; d.f. = 4, $\chi^2 = 7.5$, P = 0.1). The best-fit model explained only 9% of the variance and included only season (Table 3), but the null model also received substantial support (the only response variable in which this occurred). For the challenge assay,

6 H. S. Young et al.

the best-fit model, which included only treatment, explained just 7% of the variance, but here the whole model was significant. In this case, treatment was significant (d.f. = 1, $\chi^2 = 11.9$, P < 0.001) in explaining anti-CRBC Ab levels with slightly higher levels (i.e. stronger changes in Abs in response to antigen challenge) observed in animals in exclosure plots.

Discussion

Consistent with our hypothesis, we found that large wildlife removal was associated with strong and significant changes in immune function in a dominant rodent species. Specifically, we found changes in three of four measures of immune defences in these exclosure sites, as might be anticipated with higher food resources, better body condition and higher parasite risks (due to increased host density). Of the four immune components we quantified, we found that BKC, an innate immune parameter, and anti-CRBC Abs, a measure of the acquired response, both increased in the exclosure plots. We also found that WBC proportions changed drastically. In the exclosure plots, granulocyte proportions increased and lymphocyte proportions decreased, and this was mirrored by an increase in neutrophil to lymphocyte ratios at these sites. Because we do not have absolute values of lymphocytes and granulocytes, we cannot determine whether an overall increase or decrease in the different cell types occurred, or whether the effect reflects a shift in investment from acquired to innate immune effort.

Our manipulations did not allow us to directly identify the causal mechanisms that link wildlife removal to increases in immune investment, which could be due to greater disease exposure or risk, or to better nutrition, or both. However, our data and other studies demonstrate that large animal exclusion is linked to both higher density and higher body mass of rodents (likely due to higher food availability; Keesing 2000; Keesing & Young 2014), suggesting two potential mechanistic pathways driving observed changes in immune profiles. In this study, density repeatedly emerged as a strong predictor of immune function both for individual parameters and for relative investment across branches of immunity. In contrast, body mass was not an important predictor of any immune parameter or in explaining variation across branches of immunity. The strong importance of density is consistent with other studies that have shown DDP, with increased immune function as a response to higher pathogen pressure (Buehler, Piersma & Tieleman 2008; Mugabo et al. 2015). In this system, multiple studies have found sustained differences in plot-level ectoparasite abundance on small mammals in sites with no large wildlife (McCauley et al. 2008; Young et al. 2014) and even stronger effects on macroparasites (H. S. Young, unpublished data), making density dependence one likely mechanism for observed increases in many immune parameters. Clearly, this is an aspect that warrants further research. From our current data, we also cannot tell whether increased pathogen pressure is causing elevation of immunity of individual animals in exclosure plots on one hand, or whether there is some extrinsic cue (e.g. animal density) that is driving elevated responses rather than pathogen pressure itself. Future work in our system will examine in more depth the changes in total parasite levels across seasons and treatments, and the correlation to immune function.

While wildlife treatment and density were the most important variables in most response metrics, for several immune metrics, seasonality was also important, with higher immune levels occurring in wetter seasons. This finding is not surprising as seasonality is known to have strong effects on immune function, and resource stress can cause immune function to be depressed (Martin, Weil & Nelson 2008; Martin 2009). Our study system in particular is highly seasonal, with extended and somewhat irregular dry seasons, punctuated by wet periods of varying intensity. The sites themselves, although in close physical proximity, also vary significantly in amount of total annual rainfall, and in rainfall within a given season. In this system, intra- and interannual variation in rainfall can have dramatic effects on rodent populations, driving strong boom-bust dynamics, ranging from just over zero to >50 mice ha⁻¹ in control plots, with variation equally pronounced in treatment plots (Keesing & Young 2014).

We hypothesize that increased food availability in wet seasons may reduce resource stress and lead to increased levels of immune function. It is also possible that seasonal variation in immunity may be a response to seasonal changes in parasite density (e.g. Young et al. 2015a,b); however, seasonal patterns of parasite density likely vary across parasite species and parasite life cycle stages, and these patterns have not been established for most parasites in this system. Moreover, the one parasite group, fleas, for which seasonal variation in this system has been established, intensity decreases with increasing rainfall (Young et al. 2015a,b). This does not support the idea that changes in parasitism drive increased immune function in the wet season. Yet, given the multiple ways in which seasonality can affect immune function (Martin, Weil & Nelson 2008; Martin 2009), it was somewhat surprising that our seasonality metric was, on average, less important than either treatment or density of animals. It seems likely that the explanation for this is that density may capture seasonal information in a way more relevant to S. mearnsi, than does our direct measure of rainfall.

Notably, even after accounting for density, season and body mass, there remain strong effects of treatment on immunity. The differences in immune function among treatments could be due, in part, to some effect of exclosure treatment on male reproductive effort and testosterone. All the animals included in this study were adult males in reproductive condition, based on sexual development. We chose to include only adult males in order to minimize variation due to sex, ontogeny and reproductive status. However, adult males have high levels of testosterone, which is typically thought to be immunosuppressive (Olsen & Kovacs 1996; Greives et al. 2006; Martin et al. 2006), although more recent evidence suggests that testosterone may drive immune redistribution with high-testosterone males investing more in innate over acquired defences (Ezenwa et al. 2012). It is also possible that food stress in control plots might partially explain the higher levels of immune function we observed for some parameters in exclosure plots. Stress, whether from increased predation risk, elevated food scarcity or other sources, can strongly impact immune responses (Glaser & Kiecolt-Glaser 2005; Martin 2009; Zylberberg et al. 2013). While direction of response can vary based on duration and timing (season, life-history stage), prolonged increases in stress, as indicated by greatly reduced body size of animals in open plots, may cause immune suppression (Martin 2009) and explain why exclusion has such strong effects even when density is included in the models.

Conclusions and synthesis

Anthropogenic disturbance is known to affect animal immune function through a variety of mechanisms including changes in climatic conditions, pollution levels and invasive species (Martin *et al.* 2010, Bradley & Altizer 2007). We investigated whether selective loss of large wildlife – another major characteristic of the current wave of anthropogenic alteration of ecosystems – has impacts on animal immune function. We found evidence consistent with this expectation. These changes may in turn affect patterns of disease transmission among these rodents, as well as pathogen spillover to other taxa, including to humans via zoonotic pathogens, an aspect that warrants further investigation.

For the species studied here, the effects of wildlife removal on immune function were characterized by an increase in total immune investment, possibly explained by changes in pathogen pressure. While the effect of treatment was always among the most important factors that drive immune function, rodent density, to a lesser extent seasonality, also covaried with shifts in the immune responses. It is notable, however, that large wildlife removal predicted immune function changes even when accounting for these other factors, suggesting that the loss of large wildlife from a system may have other effects on immune function beyond those involving host density. Our findings have implications for disease transmission and disease susceptibility in defaunated systems and may inform the ongoing debate about when - and under what conditions - disturbance is likely to cause increases in disease prevalence (Keesing et al. 2013; Young et al. 2013; Wood et al. 2014).

Acknowledgements

We thank Bernard Agwanda, Benjamin Boyce, Peter Lokeny, Everlyn Ndinda, Ashley Hintz, Melanie Fratto, Margaret Kinnaird and Jacy Hyde for their assistance throughout this project. We also thank the Kenyan Government, Kenya Wildlife Service, National Museums Kenya and Mpala Research Centre for facilitating this research. Financial support for this project came from the James Smithson Fund of the Smithsonian Institution, the Smithsonian Grand Challenges Initiative, the National Geographic Society, the Woods Institute for the Environment (Stanford University), the Smithsonian Institution Women's Committee (SWC 22 and 44), the Harvard University Center for the Environment (HUCE Environmental Fellows Program) and the National Science Foundation (LTREB BSR 97-07477, 03-16402, 08-16453, 12-56004 and 12-56034). All small mammal trapping and sampling were in accordance with Institutional Animal Care and Use permits (Smithsonian Institution IACUC permit # 2009-04). We thank S. Miller, L. Helgen, A. Driskell, D. Lunde and J. Ososky for assistance at the Smithsonian.

Data accessibility

Data deposited in the Dryad Digital Repository http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/ dryad.j1t67 (Young *et al.* 2015a).

References

- Abolins, S.R., Pocock, M.J.O., Hafalla, J.C.R., Riley, E.M. & Viney, M.E. (2011) Measures of immune function of wild mice, *Mus musculus. Molecular Ecology*, **20**, 881–892.
- Ardia, D.R., Schat, K.A. & Winkler, D.W. (2003) Reproductive effort reduces long-term immune function in breeding tree swallows (*Tachycineta bicolor*). *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 270, 1679–1683.
- Arneberg, P., Skorping, A., Grenfell, B. & Read, A.F. (1998) Host densities as determinants of abundance in parasite communities. *Proceedings of* the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 265, 1283–1289.
- Atwood, T.B., Hammill, E., Greig, H.S., Kratina, P., Shurin, J.B., Srivastava, D.S. et al. (2013) Predator-induced reduction of freshwater carbon dioxide emissions. *Nature Geoscience*, 6, 191–194.
- Berger, S., Wikelski, M., Romero, L.M., Kalko, E.K.V. & Rödl, T. (2007) Behavioral and physiological adjustments to new predators in an endemic island species, the Galápagos marine iguana. *Hormones and Behavior*, 52, 653–663.
- Bradley, C.A. & Altizer, S. (2007) Urbanization and the ecology of wildlife diseases. Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 22, 95–102.
- Buehler, D.M., Piersma, T. & Tieleman, B.I. (2008) Captive and free-living red knots *Calidris canutus* exhibit differences in non-induced immunity that suggest different immune strategies in different environments. *Journal of Avian Biology*, **39**, 560–566.
- Cotter, S.C., Hails, R.S., Cory, J.S. & Wilson, K. (2004) Density-dependent prophylaxis and condition-dependent immune function in Lepidopteran larvae: a multivariate approach. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 73, 283–293.
- Demas, G.E., Zysling, D.A., Beechler, B.R., Muehlenbein, M.P. & French, S.S. (2011) Beyond phytohaemagglutinin: assessing vertebrate immune function across ecological contexts. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **80**, 710–730.
- Dirzo, R., Young, H.S., Galetti, M., Ceballos, G., Isaac, N.J.B. & Collen, B. (2014) Defaunation in the Anthropocene. *Science*, 345, 401–406.
- Estes, J.A., Terborgh, J., Brashares, J.S., Power, M.E., Berger, J., Bond, W.J. et al. (2011) Trophic downgrading of planet Earth. Science, 333, 301–306.
- Ezenwa, V.O. & Jolles, A.E. (2011) From host immunity to pathogen invasion: the effects of helminth coinfection on the dynamics of microparasites. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, **51**, 540–551.
- Ezenwa, V.O., Stefan, L., Dkernas, S. & Creel, S. (2012) Unraveling complex associations between testosterone and parasite infection in the wild. *Functional Ecology*, 26, 123–133.
- Fukasawa, K., Miyashita, T., Hashimoto, T., Tatara, M. & Abe, S. (2013) Differential population responses of native and alien rodents to an invasive predator, habitat alteration and plant masting. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 280, 20132075.
- Gilot-Fromont, E., Je'go, M., Bonenfant, C., Gibert, P., Rannou, B., Klein, F. et al. (2012) Immune phenotype and body condition in roe deer: individuals with high body condition have different, not stronger, immunity. *PLoS One*, 7, e45576.
- Glaser, R. & Kiecolt-Glaser, J.K. (2005) Stress-induced immune dysfunction: implications for health. *Nature Reviews Immunology*, 5, 243–251.
- Goheen, J.R., Palmer, T.M., Keesing, F., Riginos, C. & Young, T.P. (2010) Large herbivores facilitate savanna tree establishment via diverse and indirect pathways. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **79**, 372–382.

8 H. S. Young et al.

- Graham, A.L., Shuker, D.M., Pollitt, L.C., Auld, S.K.J.R., Wilson, A.J. & Little, T.J. (2011) Fitness consequences of immune responses: strengthening the empirical framework for ecoimmunology. *Functional Ecology*, 25, 5–17.
- Greives, T.J., Mcglothlin, J.W., Jawor, J.M., Demas, G.E. & Ketterson, E.D. (2006) Testosterone and innate immune function inversely covary in a wild population of breeding Dark-Eyed Juncos (*Junco hyemalis*). *Functional Ecology*, **20**, 812–818.
- Groner, M.L., Buck, J.C., Gervasi, S., Blaustein, A.R., Reinert, L.K., Rollins-Smith, L.A. *et al.* (2013) Larval exposure to predator cues alters immune function and response to a fungal pathogen in post-metamorphic wood frogs. *Ecological Applications*, 23, 1443–1454.
- Hawlena, D. & Schmitz, O.J. (2010) Herbivore physiological response to predation risk and implications for ecosystem nutrient dynamics. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 107, 15503–15507.
- Hawlena, D., Strickland, M.S., Bradford, M.A. & Schmitz, O.J. (2012) Fear of predation slows plant-litter decomposition. *Science*, 336, 1434– 1438.
- Hawley, D.M. & Altizer, S.M. (2011) Disease ecology meets ecological immunology: understanding the links between organismal immunity and infection dynamics in natural populations. *Functional Ecology*, 25, 48– 60.
- Heske, E.J. & Campbell, M. (1991) Effects of an 11-year livestock exclosure on rodent and ant numbers in the Chihuahuan desert, Southeastern Arizona. *The Southwestern Naturalist*, **36**, 89–93.
- Horak, P., Tummeleht, L. & Talvik, H. (2006) Predator threat, copulation effort and immunity in male rats (*Rattus norvegicus*). *Journal of Zoology*, 268, 9–16.
- Keesing, F. (2000) Cryptic consumers and the ecology of an African savanna. *BioScience*, **50**, 205–215.
- Keesing, F. & Crawford, T. (2001) Impacts of density and large mammals on space use by the pouched mouse (*Saccostomus mearnsi*) in central Kenya. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, **17**, 465–472.
- Keesing, F. & Young, T.P. (2014) Cascading consequences of the loss of large mammals in an African savanna. *BioScience*, 64, 487–495.
- Keesing, F., Allan, B.F., Young, T.P. & Ostfeld, R.S. (2013) Effects of wildlife and cattle on tick abundance in central Kenya. *Ecological Applications*, 23, 1410–1418.
- Kimuyu, D.M., Sensenig, R.L., Riginos, C., Veblen, K.E. & Young, T.P. (2014) Native and domestic browsers and grazers reduce fuels, fire temperatures, and acacia ant mortality in an African savanna. *Ecological Applications*, 24, 741–749.
- Klasing, K.C. (2004) The costs of immunity. Acta Zoologica Sinica, 50, 961–969.
- Krams, I., Cirule, D., Krama, T. & Vrublevska, J. (2011) Extremely low ambient temperature affects haematological parameters and body condition in wintering Great Tits (*Parus major*). *Journal of Ornithology*, **152**, 889–895.
- Kurten, E.L. (2013) Cascading effects of contemporaneous defaunation on tropical forest communities. *Biological. Conservation*, **163**, 22–32.
- Lee, K.A. (2006) Linking immune defenses and life history at the levels of the individual and the species. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 46, 1000–1015.
- Leroux, S.J., Hawlena, D. & Schmitz, O.J. (2012) Predation risk, stoichiometric plasticity and ecosystem elemental cycling. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 279, 4183–4191.
- Lochmiller, R.L. & Deerenberg, C. (2000) Trade-offs in evolutionary immunology: just what is the cost of immunity? *Oikos*, 88, 87–98.
- Maher, J.M., Werner, E.E. & Denver, R.J. (2013) Stress hormones mediate predator-induced phenotypic plasticity in amphibian tadpoles. *Proceed*ings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 280, 20123075.
- Maizels, R.M. & Nussey, D.H. (2013) Into the wild: digging at immunology's evolutionary roots. *Nature Immunology*, 14, 879–883.
- Marino, J.A. Jr & Werner, E.E. (2013) Synergistic effects of predators and trematode parasites on larval green frog (*Rana clamitans*) survival. *Ecology*, 94, 2697–2708.
- Martin, L.B. (2009) Stress and immunity in wild vertebrates: timing is everything. *General Comparative Endocrinology*, 163, 70–76.
- Martin, L.B., Weil, Z.M. & Nelson, R.J. (2007b) Immune defense and reproductive pace of life in *Peromyscus* mice. *Ecology*, 88, 2516–2528.
- Martin, L.B., Weil, Z.M. & Nelson, R.J. (2008) Seasonal changes in vertebrate immune activity: mediation by physiological trade-offs. *Philosophi*cal Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 363, 321– 339.

- Martin, L.B., Hopkins, W.A., Mydlarz, L.D. & Rohr, J.R. (2010) The effects of anthropogenic global changes on immune functions and disease resistance. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1195, 129–148.
- Martin, L.B., Weil, Z.M., Kuhlman, J.R. & Nelson, R.J. (2006) Trade-offs within the immune systems of female white-footed mice, *Peromyscus leu*copus. Functional Ecology, 20, 630–636.
- Martin, L.B., Navara, K.J., Weil, Z.M. & Nelson, R.J. (2007a) Immunological memory is compromised by food restriction in deer mice *Peromyscus maniculatus*. *The American Journal of Physiology – Regulatory*, *Integrative and Comparative Physiology*, **292**, 316–320.
- Masello, J.F., Choconi, R.G., Helmer, M., Kremberg, T., Lubjuhn, T. & Quillfeldt, P. (2009) Do leucocytes reflect condition in nestling burrowing parrots *Cyanoliseus patagonus* in the wild? *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A – Molecular & Integrative Physiology*, **152**, 176– 181.
- Matson, K.D., Ricklefs, R.E. & Klasing, K.C. (2005) A hemolysis-hemagglutination assay for characterizing constitutive innate humoral immunity in wild and domestic birds. *Developmental and Comparative Immunology*, 29, 275–286.
- McCauley, D.J., Keesing, F., Young, T.P., Allan, B.F. & Pringle, R.M. (2006) Indirect effects of large herbivores on snakes in an African savanna. *Ecology*, 87, 2657–2663.
- McCauley, D.J., Keesing, F., Young, T.P. & Dittmar, K. (2008) Effects of the removal of large herbivores on fleas of small mammals. *Journal of Vector Ecology*, 33, 263–268.
- Møller, A.P. & Petrie, M. (2002) Condition dependence, multiple sexual signals, and immunocompetence in peacocks. *Behavioral Ecology*, 13, 248–253.
- Morosinotto, C., Ruuskanen, S., Thomson, R.L., Siitari, H., Korpimäki, E. & Laaksonen, T. (2013) Predation risk affects the levels of maternal immune factors in avian eggs. *Journal of Avian Biology*, 44, 427– 436.
- Mugabo, M., Perret, S., Decebcuerem, B., Meylan, S. & Le Galliard, J. (2015) Density-dependent immunity and parasitism risk in experimental populations of lizards naturally infested by ixodid ticks. *Ecology*, 96, 450–460.
- Navarro, C., de Lope, F., Marzal, A. & Møller, A.P. (2004) Predation risk, host immune response and parasitism. *Behavioral Ecology*, 15, 629–635.
- Nelson, R.J. & Demas, G.E. (1996) Seasonal changes in immune function. *The Quarterly Review of Biology*, 71, 511–548.
- Nordling, D., Andersson, M., Zohari, S. & Lars, G. (1998) Reproductive effort reduces specific immune response and parasite resistance. *Proceed*ings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 265, 1291–1298.
- Olsen, N.J. & Kovacs, W.J. (1996) Gonadal steroids and immunity. Endocrine Reviews, 17, 369–384.
- Palacios, M.G., Cunnick, J.E., Vleck, D. & Vleck, C.M. (2009) Ontogeny of innate and adaptive immune defense components in free-living tree swallows, *Tachycineta bicolor*. *Developmental and Comparative Immunol*ogv. 33, 456–463.
- Palacios, M.G., Cunnick, J.E., Winkler, D.W. & Vleck, C.M. (2012) Interrelations among immune defense indexes reflect major components of the immune system in a free-living vertebrate. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, 85, 1–10.
- Pedersen, A.B. & Babayan, S.A. (2011) Wild immunology. *Molecular Ecology*, 20, 872–880.
- Petraitis, P.S., Dunham, A.E. & Niewlarowski, P.H. (1996) Inferring multiple causality: the limitations of path analysis. *Functional Ecology*, 10, 421–431.
- Previtali, M.A., Ostfeld, R.S., Keesing, F., Jolles, A.E., Hanselmann, R. & Martin, L.B. (2012) Relationship between pace of life and immune responses in wild rodents. *Oikos*, **121**, 1483–1492.
- Raffel, T.R., Martin, L.B. & Rohr, J.R. (2008) Parasites as predators: unifying natural enemy ecology. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 23, 610– 618.
- Restif, O. & Amos, W. (2010) The evolution of sex-specific immune defenses. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 277, 2247–2255.
- Rigby, M.C. & Jokela, J. (2000) Predator avoidance and immune defence: costs and trade-offs in snails. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 267, 171–176.
- Schmitz, O.J., Hawlena, D. & Trussell, G.C. (2010) Predator control of ecosystem nutrient dynamics. *Ecology Letters*, 13, 1199–1209.
- Seiter, S.A. (2011) Predator presence suppresses immune function in a larval amphibian. *Evolutionary Ecology Research*, 13, 283–293.

- Smit, R., Bokdam, J., Ouden, J., Olff, H., Schot-Opschoor, H. & Schrijvers, M. (2001) Effects of introduction and exclusion of large herbivores on small rodent communities. *Plant Ecology*, **155**, 119–127.
- Springer, A.M., Estes, J.A., van Vliet, G.B., Williams, T.M., Doak, D.F., Danner, E.M. et al. (2003) Sequential megafaunal collapse in the North Pacific Ocean: an ongoing legacy of industrial whaling? Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 100, 12223–12228.
- Strickland, M.S., Hawlena, D., Reese, A., Bradford, M.A. & Schmitz, O.J. (2013) Trophic cascade alters ecosystem carbon exchange. *Proceedings of* the National Academy of Sciences, **110**, 11035–11038.
- Thomson, R.L., Tomas, G., Forsman, J.T., Broggi, J. & Mönkkönen, M. (2010) Predator proximity as a stressor in breeding flycatchers: mass loss, stress protein induction, and elevated provisioning. *Ecology*, **91**, 1832– 1840.
- Tizard, I. (2004) Veterinary Immunology. Saunders, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA.
- Wilmers, C.C., Estes, J.A., Edwards, M., Laidre, K.L. & Konar, B. (2012) Do trophic cascades affect the storage and flux of atmospheric carbon? An analysis of sea otters and kelp forests. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 10, 409–415.
- Wood, C.L., Lafferty, K.D., DeLeo, G., Young, H.S., Hudson, P.J. & Kuris, A.M. (2014) Does biodiversity protect humans against infectious disease? *Ecology*, 95, 817–832.
- Young, T.P., Palmer, T.M. & Gadd, M.E. (2005) Competition and compensation among cattle, zebras, and elephants in a semi-arid savanna in Laikipia, Kenya. *Biological Conservation*, **122**, 351–359.
- Young, T.P., Okello, B.D., Kinyua, D. & Palmer, T.M. (1997) KLEE: a long-term multi-species herbivore exclusion experiment in Laikipia, Kenya. African Journal of Range and Forage Science, 14, 94–102.
- Young, H.S., Griffin, R.H., Wood, C.L. & Nunn, C.L. (2013) Does habitat disturbance increase infectious disease risk for primates? *Ecology Letters*, 16, 656–663.

- Young, H.S., Dirzo, R., Helgen, K.M., McCauley, D.J., Billeter, S., Kosoy, M. et al. (2014) Declines in large wildlife increase landscape-level prevalence of rodent-borne disease in Africa. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111, 7036–7041.
- Young, H.S., Dirzo, R., Helgen, K., McCauley, D., Nunn, C., Snyder, P. et al. (2015a) Data from: Large wildlife removal drives immune defense increases in rodents. *Dryad Digital Repository*, http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/ dryad.8654h
- Young, H.S., McCauley, D.J., Dirzo, R., Goheen, J.R., Agwanda, B., Ferguson, A.W. *et al.* (2015b) Context dependent effects of land-use change on small mammal communities. *Ecological Applications*, 25, 348–360.
- Zanette, L.Y., Clinchy, M. & Suraci, J.P. (2014) Diagnosing predation risk effects on demography: can measuring physiology provide the means? *Oecologia*, **176**, 637–651.
- Zylberberg, M., Lee, K.A., Klasing, K.C. & Wikelski, M. (2013) Variation with land use of immune function and prevalence of avian pox in Galapagos finches. *Conservation Biology*, 27, 103–112.

Received 24 May 2015; accepted 6 August 2015 Handling Editor: Barbara Tschirren

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting information may be found in the online version of this article:

Methods S1. Detailed methods of immunological assays.